# EXHIBIT 11

24

25

THE COURT: How old are you, sir?

37.

THE DEFENDANT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# PLEA

THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States.

You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you.

Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You have the right to be released either conditionally or unconditionally pending trial unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court hearings and the safety of the community. If the government were to ask me to detain you pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist.

Do you understand this right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an attorney to represent you. Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

24

25

PLEA THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to 1 2 obtain and afford counsel on your own? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 3 4 THE COURT: Have you retained Mr. Filan to represent 5 you? б THE DEFENDANT: I have. 7 THE COURT: And it is your desire to proceed in these matters with Mr. Filan as your retained counsel? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And I am informed that -- Mr. Filan 10 11 informed that Mr. Lipkin has an application to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to the six-count Superseding 12 Information that is labeled S3 10 Criminal 228. 13 14 Is that correct? 15 MR. FILAN: That is correct, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And this plea is pursuant to the agreement 17 that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1, and is at defense table now? 18 19 MR. FILAN: That is correct, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And you and Mr. Lipkin have also reviewed and signed the advice of rights form that has been marked as 21 22 Court Exhibit 1. 23 MR. FILAN: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT:

Mr. Moore at this point.

Thank you. I have a question for

#### PLEA

Mr. Moore, would you please make a statement for the record as to the government's victim notification activities in connection with this matter. I note that the courtroom is full and that I signed an order requiring certain information be posted on the website, but perhaps you can bring us up to date.

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

Last week we issued a letter to your attention requesting that we make public that this proceeding will be occurring today, namely, that Mr. Lipkin will be pleading to a superseding S3 Information to the counts, which we will go through today. We also requested that we be able to post this announcement on the U.S. Attorney's Madoff website.

Your Honor kindly ordered -- so ordered that letter on Thursday, and those conditions did happen as of that date.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Now, I had received a call indicating that there was one victim who wished to be heard today. This morning we received a further message that that person is unable to attend court today.

Is the government aware of any victims who are here present today who wish to be heard?

MR. MOORE: We are not, your Honor. However, we are aware that your deputy, Ms. Ng, did make a sign-up sheet available, but we do not believe anyone signed that sheet.

THE COURT: It's my understanding that no one has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# PLEA

signed on the sheet.

Is there anyone here who does wish to be heard?
(Pause)

All right. We will proceed, then.

Mr. Lipkin, before I accept your Waiver of Indictment and your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to assure that it is a valid waiver and plea. At times I may cover a point more than once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen. But if I do so, that is because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today.

If you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the oath.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

(The defendant was sworn)

THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for the record.

THE DEFENDANT: Eric Scott Lipkin.

THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that you have solemnly promised to tell the truth, and that if you

1 166dlipp

	PLEA
1	answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue
2	answers may later be used against you in another prosecution
3	for perjury or making a false statement?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
5	THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of
6	the proceeding.
7	You indicated that your age is 37, is that correct?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from Ramapo College.
11	THE COURT: In what field of study?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Economics. I also have an associates
13	degree in finance.
14	THE COURT: Are you able to speak, read and understand
15	the English language well?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: And you are a citizen of the United
18	States?
19	THE DEFENDANT: I am.
20	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
21	under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
24	for any mental illness or for any type of addiction, including

drug or alcohol addiction?

| 166dlipp

		PLEA
1		THE DEFENDANT: No.
2 ·		THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to any drugs
3	or alcoho	ol?
4		THE DEFENDANT: No.
5		THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any
6	drugs, me	edicine, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol?
7 .		THE DEFENDANT: No.
8		THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
9		THE DEFENDANT: It is.
10		THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today?
11		THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12		THE COURT: Are you represented by a lawyer here
13	today?	
14		THE DEFENDANT: I am.
15		THE COURT: What is your attorney's name?
16		THE DEFENDANT: James Filan.
17		THE COURT: Mr. Filan, Mr. Moore, does either of you
18	have any	doubt as to Mr. Lipkin's competence to waive
19	indictmer	nt and enter a plea at this time?
20		MR. MOORE: No, your Honor.
21		MR. FILAN: I have no knowledge. He should be able
22	to, yes,	your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Thank you. You have no knowledge of any
24	reason fo	or me
25		MR. FILAN: I have no reason to believe that he is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## PLEA

unable to enter a plea. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lipkin, your attorney has informed me that you wish to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to an information. Do you wish to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with your attorney, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any possible defenses you may have to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Have you and your attorney also discussed the consequences of entering a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney and his representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I am.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Lipkin's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time and to waive indictment.

Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you many so more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy the Court that you wish to plead quilty because you are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### PLEA

in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea. I am now going to describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you enter a guilty plea. Please listen carefully. If you do not understand something that I'm saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney will explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you that are set out in the Information.

Do you understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from this district would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty. Do you understand that?

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## PLEA

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. You would also have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. In addition, you would have the right to have witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense, and you would have the right to testify yourself but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

	166dlipp
	PLEA
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of
- 3	these rights that I've asked you about?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
5	THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of
6	these rights?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not.
8	THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a
9	guilty plea today you will be giving up each and every one of
10	these rights?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
12	THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be
13	giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights
14	may have been violated?
15	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
16	THE COURT: And do you understand that you will have
17	no trial if you plead guilty?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a
·20 ·	guilty plea, you will also have to give up your right not to
21	incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about
22	what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your

charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

II 166dlipp

25

it?

	PLEA
1	mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You do
2.	not have to enter this plea if you do not want to for any
3	reason. Do you understand this fully?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
7	THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to
8	which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an
9	information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney.
. 10	You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment
11	rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge
12	issued from the grand jury. Do you understand that?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show
15	Mr. Lipkin the Waiver of Indictment form.
16	(Pause)
17	Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this Waiver of Indictment?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I have.
19	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
20	THE DEFENDANT: I did.
21	THE COURT: You did discuss it with your attorney
22	before you signed it?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed
ا م	l van

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	166dlipp PLEA
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not waive
3	indictment, if the government wished to prosecute you on these
4	particular charges that are in the Information, the government
5	would have to present the case to the grand jury, which might
6	or might not indict you on those charges?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no
9	obligation to waive indictment?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11.	THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving
12	indictment, you are giving up your right to have the case
13	presented to the grand jury?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
17	THE COURT: Did anyone make any threats or promises to
18	get you to waive indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: No, they did not.

THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the Third Superseding Information that has the word "Information" at the top and the number S3 10 Cr. 228 on it?

> THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: Have you read it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2:3

24

25

## PLEA

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you that are detailed in the Information?

Yes, we have.

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: If you would like, I can read the Information out loud now here in court to you. Do you want me to read it out loud to you?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Lipkin's Waiver of Indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so order it.

Mr. Lipkin, do you understand that Count One of the Superseding Information charges you with violating Section 371 of Title 18 of the United States Code by participating in a conspiracy to, one, violate 15 United States Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff and Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.17a-3 by falsifying books and records of a broker-dealer, as well as conspiring to violate Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17 and 17 C.F.R., Section 275.204-2 by falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, and also conspiring to violate Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2 by falsifying statements to facilitate the theft concerning ERISA, that being the Employee Retirement Income Security Act?

PLEA

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the Superseding Information charges you with violating Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 371 by participating in a conspiracy to violate Title 18, Section 1344, by defrauding a financial institution, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and records of a broker-dealer, in violation of 15 United States Code, Sections 78q(a) and 78ff; 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.17a-3; and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the Superseding Information charges you with falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17; 17 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 275.204-2; and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the Superseding Information charges you with making false statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in violation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

# PLEA

1 of 18 United States Code, Sections 1027 and 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Six of the Superseding Information charges you with committing bank fraud with respect to a federally insured bank, in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1344 and 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part or element of each of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please explain for the record the elements that the government would have to prove if you were to go to trial on these charges?

MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor.

With regard to Counts One and Two, the conspiracy counts, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy, the government must establish each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the conspiracy charged in the Information existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to violate the laws of the United States;

Second, your Honor, that the defendant knowingly, willingly and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy

PLEA

1 | charged;

2

3

4

5 ′

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

And, third, that any one of the conspirators, not necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during the life of that conspiracy.

With regard to Count Three, your Honor, falsifying broker-dealer books and records, in order to prove this crime, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense,
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, otherwise known as
"BLMIS," was a registered broker;

Second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records, as required under the SEC's rules and regulations;

Third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; and

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

With regard to Count Four, your Honor, falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that at the time of the alleged offense BLMIS was an investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's

PLEA

rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, fifth, the offense involved the use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

With regard to Count Five, falsifying statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, in order to prove this crime the government must demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt that, first, that at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant made a false statement; second, that the defendant knew the statement to be false; and, third, that the defendant made a false statement in a document required by ERISA.

Finally, your Honor, with regard to Count Six, bank fraud, in order to prove this crime beyond a reasonable doubt, the government must demonstrate, first, that at the time of the alleged offense the defendant executed or attempted execute a scheme or artifice to defraud a bank, or that the defendant execute or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain money owned by or under the custody or control of that bank by means of false or fraudulent pretense, representations or promises; second, your Honor, the defendant engaged in a scheme or artifice knowingly and willfully and with the specific intent to defraud the bank; and, third, that the bank involved was a federally chartered or insured financial institution.

THE COURT: Thank you.

# PLEA

Mr. Lipkin, do you understand the matters that the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count One is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Two is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in

PLEA

Count Three is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Four is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Five is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus

- 8

#### PLEA

three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime with which you are charged in Count Six is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus five years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the six crimes with which you are charged is 70 years of imprisonment plus a fine of \$7 million or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains and losses and statutory amounts relating to your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a \$600 mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for five years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information to verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

1.6

2.0

#### PLEA

"Supervised release" means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial.

If you were on supervised release and do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be returned to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release, you will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on post-release supervision. So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for two years but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison for three full years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### PLEA

Sentencing Guidelines that the Court must consider in determining your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Has your attorney discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with you?

> THE DEFENDANT: He has.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the Court has an obligation to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines' range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

Yes.

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can

# PLEA

only determine the sentence after the Probation Department prepares a presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any number of years imprisonment between zero and the combined statutory maximums of 70 years?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence, or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Superseding Information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six, including up to approximately \$143.2 billion as to Counts One and Three and approximately \$700,000, including your interest in certain real property, as to each of Counts Two and Six?

MR. FILAN: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin understands that he has to admit to the forfeiture allegation regarding the bank fraud crimes in Two and Six, but he is not admitting today to Count One and Three.

# PLEA

	PLEA
1.	THE COURT: Yes. In this section of the allocution, I
2	am confirming his understanding that there is a forfeiture
3	allegation. We will get to the admission issues later.
4	MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. I just wanted
5	he showed some confusion there, and I wanted to make sure he
6	understood that.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show
8	Mr. Lipkin the agreement, Government Exhibit 1.
9	(Pause)
10	MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this
12	agreement?
1:3	THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.
.14	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Did you fully discuss it with your
17	attorney before you signed it?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we did.
19	THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you
20	signed it?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Does the agreement accurately reflect your
23	complete and total understanding of the entire agreement
24	between the government, your attorney and you?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

#### PLEA

THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about your plea, cooperation and sentence covered in this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has anything been left out?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe so.

No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other than what is set out in that agreement or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or to enter into this agreement?

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government does not oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Do you understand that this agreement provides that prior to the date of sentencing, you must file accurate amended tax returns for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, and pay or enter into an agreement to pay past taxes due and owing by you to the Internal Revenue Service, including any applicable penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the

PLEA

United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority other than the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, and if you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, that the United States Attorney will file a motion pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines requesting that the Court sentence you in light of the factors set forth in subdivision (a)(1) through (5) of that Section of the guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under Section 5K1.1 include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into account the government's evaluation of your assistance; the truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information or testimony you provided; the nature and extent of your assistance; any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### PLEA

injury to you on your family as a result of your assistance; and the timeliness of your assistance?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your plea if the Court denies the motion?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if United States

Attorney determines that you have not provide substantial

assistance in an investigation or prosecution or that you have

violated any provision of the agreement, the United States

Attorney is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States Attorney has not filed a motion?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution

# PLEA

for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney has knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or that you otherwise violated any provision of this cooperation agreement, all statements made by you to the United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement agents and any testimony given by you before a grand jury or other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceedings against you?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence and that you are waiving your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 3, this agreement also includes your agreement to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Six in an amount to be determined by the Court as to Counts One and Three, and as to Counts Two and Six, that you are agreeing to the entry of a money judgment

II 166dlipp

PLEA
against you in the total amount of \$1.4 million in United
States currency, and that you will forfeit all of your interest
in certain real property in Ridgewood, New Jersey and in
certain accounts held in the names of or for the benefit of
you, your wife and minor children at Fidelity Investments?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Filan, is that a correct statement?
MR. FILAN: Absolutely, your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, do you still wish to plead
guilty pursuant to this agreement?
THE DEFENDANT: I do.
THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you know of any valid reason
why Mr. Lipkin would prevail at trial?
MR. FILAN: I do not, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you know any reason why he should not
be permitted to plead guilty?
MR. FILAN: I do not.
THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand at this
time and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of each of
the crimes charged in the third Superseding Information.
THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to first

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to first apologize to my family, my friends, and all the victims in this case. I'm very sorry for my conduct.

I now want to address my actions as they relate to the charges against me.

#### PLEA

With regard to the conspiracy charged in Count One and also charged in Counts Three, Four and Five, I worked with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities' employees to deceive others. I created fake DTC reports in New York City.

I created these documents knowingly and willingly. It know that these documents were fake because they were created by me and not by the DTC. I created them to match documents given to me by other BLMIS employees. My understanding was that the fake DTC reports that were prepared were being given to the auditors to mislead them.

Also as part of the conspiracy charged in Count One and the charges in Count Three, Four and Five, it was my job to prepare the BLMIS payroll documents and records. As part of my job from at least 1996 in New York City, I created fake, false payroll records and also submitted to the Department of Labor inaccurate form 5500s. These forms falsely showed that a number of people were employees of BLMIS when in fact I knew they were not working for BLMIS.

For instance, sometime in 2008, Daniel Bonventre instructed me to include one of his sons as an employee when I knew he wasn't working there, and I agreed to do it; and I created BLMIS payroll records to reflect that he worked there.

Further, beginning in 2007, in New York City, I knowingly certified on the Form 5500 that there were people who worked at BLMIS when in fact they did not. I also understood

#### PLEA

that the Form 5500 contained a certification that all information on the form was accurate, and I signed it knowing it was not accurate and then I submitted it to the Department of Labor using Federal Express.

Counts Two and Six.

Regarding Count Two, conspiracy to commit bank fraud, and Count Six, bank fraud, I was attempting to get a construction loan. In order to ensure I received the loan, I went to Frank DiPiscali to create a new BLMIS account in my name that falsely said my account value was greater than it was. I knew I could ask Frank DiPiscali to do this for me because I knew it had been done previously for other BLMIS employees.

That account statement was created in New York at BLMIS in November of 2008. Once I got the fake statement, I sent it from New York City to a bank in Florida. I knew that the account did not have the money in it that the statement said it did and that it was wrong to mislead the lender to get the loan.

THE COURT: Would you remain standing. I have a few more questions for you.

You indicated that the fake DTC reports that you were making were going to be given to the auditors in order to deceive auditors. What did you understand the auditors were going to do with that information?

# PLEA

	<del></del> -
1	THE DEFENDANT: It was to confirm positions at several
2	investment advisory accounts that we were reporting at.
3	THE COURT: And to what persons or entities were the
4	reports going to be made?
5	THE DEFENDANT: The SEC.
6	THE COURT: And you use the term "DTC," what does that
7	mean?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Depository Trust Company.
9	THE COURT: You used the term "5500" reports. What
10	are those?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Those are reports that certify monies
12	that are in a 401(k) plan.
13	THE COURT: And is the 401(k) plan a type of plan
14	that's governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act?
15	THE DEFENDANT: It is.
16	THE COURT: And to what agency, if any, of the
17	government are the 5500s submitted?
18	THE DEFENDANT: The federal government, the IRS the
19	Department of Labor. I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: I notice that you were looking at some
21	notes as you were speaking. Do those notes accurately reflect
22	truthful information and actions that you yourself took?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they do.
24	THE COURT: When you did these things that you have
25	described, did know that what you were doing was wrong and

PLEA

1 | illegal?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, does the government wish any further factual matters to be addressed in the plea allocution?

MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please summarize the government's evidence against Mr. Lipkin.

And, Mr. Lipkin, you can be seated, please.

MR. MOORE: Certainly, your Honor.

Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would have proven, through testimony and evidence, beyond a reasonable doubt the facts set forth in the Superseding Information. Specifically, the government would have proven with respect to Counts One, Three, Four and Five of the Information a conspiracy to falsify books and records of a broker-dealer and investment advisor and conspiracy to falsify statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, along with the corresponding substantive charges: That Mr. Lipkin was employed by BLMIS from in or about the mid-1980s through at least on or about December 11, 2008, when BLMIS collapsed. In or about 1996, Mr. Lipkin began working with his co-conspirators in falsifying the books and records at BLMIS.

For instance, Mr. Lipkin, working with other co-conspirators, created fraudulent account statements detailing the account values of several investment advisory, or

#### PLEA

"IA", accounts at BLMIS. In furtherance of this fraud, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin prepared letters and statements setting out fake holdings purportedly held in multiple BLMIS IA accounts.

Further, in connection with reviews by the SEC and a European accounting firm, Mr. Lipkin and other co-conspirators created false and fraudulent BLMIS books and records as well as false documents purportedly obtained from third parties in the ordinary course of business at BLMIS. For example, Mr. Lipkin and others created fake reports purportedly obtained by the Depository Trust Company, or "DTC." These fake DTC reports purported to show the securities holdings of BLMIS IA clients, which in fact did not exist. Mr. Lipkin knew the purpose of these fake DTC reports was to mislead the auditors.

During his tenure at BLMIS, and at the direction of other co-conspirators, Mr. Lipkin also created false BLMIS books and records reflecting individuals who did not actually work at BLMIS. Mr. Lipkin was responsible for processing the payroll and administering the 401(k) plans at BLMIS, and in this capacity, your Honor, Mr. Lipkin was responsible for preparing and maintaining internal BLMIS payroll records. He was aware that there were individuals on BLMIS's payroll who did not work for the firm but who nevertheless received salaries and benefits. Mr. Lipkin created false internal BLMIS payroll records reflecting that these individuals worked at BLMIS. Furthermore, he included a number of fake employees in

PLEA

the total number of employees that he reported to the Department of Labor.

With respect to Counts Two and Six, conspiracy to commit bank fraud and bank fraud, your Honor, the government would prove that in or about 2008, Mr. Lipkin prepared and submitted a loan application to a lending institution insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or "FDIC," which purported to represent accurately his personal and financial information. However, he fraudulently improved his creditworthiness by falsifying his personal and financial information in a manner that was material to the lending institution in making its lending decision. Specifically, Mr. Lipkin, working with others, prepared and submitted false and misleading information concerning his assets.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, before you sit down, or I will ask you to stand back up, would you just map for me a little more precisely the nature of the violation of the ERISA-specific charge, which we've referred to as facilitating false statements to facilitate a theft concerning ERISA, the elements had been recited, and the allocution here deals with the falsification of plan financial reports by including people who were not employees.

Is there also a specific theft element? Is there a necessity to show intent that plan assets were actually to be given to someone who wasn't entitled to them? I just don't

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

understand the nexus between the theft concept and the false statements to my satisfaction.

Sure. Your Honor, I don't believe that is MR. MOORE: an essential element of the crime, but we have alleged that and I believe defendant has admitted to that nevertheless.

It is our understanding -- and we would prove this beyond a reasonable doubt should this case go to trial -- that Mr. Lipkin submitted the Form 5500, which is a reporting form that goes to the Department of Labor, which essentially lists the number of employees at the employer's institution. case, Mr. Lipkin inflated that number, therefore misreporting the number of employees who were working at BLMIS, when he in fact knew that there were fewer employees working at that institution. As a result of doing so, he also put them on the internal payroll records at BLMIS, thus giving those employees who in fact never worked at BLMIS 401(k) plans and other salaries and benefits.

> Can you hold on one second, your Honor? (Pause)

Entitling them to benefits provided under the Social Security Administration as well as under a 401(k) plan, your Honor. As a result of that, your Honor, the Form 5500 that goes to the Department of Labor does concern ERISA, and, therefore, we believe Mr. Lipkin has met all the three elements for Count Five, falsifying statements to facilitate a theft

25

PLEA concerning ERISA. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, is Mr. Moore's summary of the 3 nature and significance in terms of entitlement to benefits under an ERISA government plan accurate with respect to your 4 own activities in falsifying the 401(k) plan records? 5 THE DEFENDANT: It is, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Lipkin, would you please stand again. 7 Mr. Lipkin, how do you now plead to the charge against 8 9 you in Count One of the third Superseding Information, not 10 quilty or quilty? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 12 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 13 you in Count Two of the third Superseding Information, not 14 quilty or quilty? 1.5 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 16 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Three of the third Superseding Information, not 17 18 quilty or quilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 20 THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against 21 you in Count Four of the third Superseding Information, not 22 guilty or guilty? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against you in Count Five of the third Superseding Information, not

	Pg 41 of 53 40 166dlipp
	PLEA
1	guilty or guilty?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
. 3	THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge
4	against you in Count Six of the third Superseding Information,
5 .	not guilty or guilty?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
7	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these
8	charges because you are in fact guilty of the conduct charged
9	in each count?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I am.
11	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
12	your own free will?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I am.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Filan, would you please show
15	Mr. Lipkin Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form.
16	Mr. Lipkin, have you signed this form?
17	THE DEFENDANT: I have.
18	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
. 19	THE DEFENDANT: I did.
20	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
21	before you signed it?
22	THE DEFENDANT: We did.
23	THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed
24	it?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

24

25

numbered 10 Criminal 228.

PLEA Mr. Filan, did you also review and sign THE COURT: 1 Court Exhibit 1? 2 I did, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 3 THE COURT: Mr. Filan, are there any other questions 4 5 that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with this plea? 6 7 MR. FILAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Moore, are there any other questions 8 that you believe I should ask Mr. Lipkin in connection with 9 10 this plea? Thank you, your Honor. 11 MR. MOORE: No. THE COURT: And are there any victims who wish to 12 speak in connection with this matter? 13 14 (Pause) I note that no one has raised their hand. 15 Mr. Lipkin, you have acknowledged that you are quilty 16 as charged in the Information. I find that you know your 17 18 rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. 19 Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing 20 each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 21 accept your guilty plea, and I adjudge you guilty of the 22

offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six

of the third Superseding Information in this case, which is

	PLEA
1	You can be seated now. Thank you.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Filan, do you wish to be present for
4.	any interview of Mr. Lipkin in connection with the preparation
5	of the presentence report?
6	MR. FILAN: I do, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: I will make that direction.
8	MR. FILAN: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Moore, what is the parties' request
10	with respect to the setting of a sentencing date or a control
11	date?
12	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, at this time, we request that
13	we have six months for a sentencing control date.
14	THE COURT: And that a presentence report not be
15	ordered at this point?
16	MR. MOORE: That is correct, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may we have a control date six
18	months out?
19	THE CLERK: Thursday, December 15, 2011, at 11 a.m.
20	THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for
21	December 15, 2011, at 11 in the morning.
22	In advance of that date, Mr. Moore, will the
23	government inform the Court as to whether it is appropriate to
24	commence the preparation of the presentence report or to
25	further extend the control date?

### PLEA

MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

When it is time for sentencing, counsel, I just wish to ask and to remind you to be prompt in getting any objections or additional information to Probation after the draft report is disclosed, and any 5K1.1 letter or letter indicating an intention to make a motion pursuant to 5K1.1 must be prepared and submitted to the Court and the Probation Department before the probation report is completed so that I can have the benefit of the Probation Department's thinking in that regard as well.

Sentencing submissions are governed by my sentencing submission procedures, which are available on the court's website and also here in hard copy in the courtroom.

Mr. Lipkin, the Probation Office will be preparing a presentence report at some point to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the Probation Office. It is important that the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorney have a right and will have an opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing.

Failing to be truthful with the Probation Office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may

20

21

22

23

24

25

PLEA subject you to prosecution. 1 2 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do. 3 Thank you. THE COURT: 4 Now, give me just one moment here. 5 (Pause) 6 Section 3143 of Title 18 provides that the Court is to 7 order a person who has been found quilty of an offense and who 8 9 is awaiting sentencing as a general matter to be detained unless the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing 10 11 evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger 12 to the safety of any other person or the community if released. Do the parties have a proposal with respect to 13 1.4 detention or release, and would the government set forth its position as to the 3143 factors? 15 16 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. In light of Mr. Lipkin's cooperation and his full disclosure of his financial assets, 17 18 his dealings at BLMIS, we are confident that he will be 19 following the rules as set in our proposed bail package that we

would like to present to the Court; namely, that his bail be secured by a \$2.5 million personal recognizance bond, secured by \$800,000 in cash or property.

THE COURT: And that would be property other than the residential property that has been mentioned in connection with the --

# PLEA

MR. MOORE: Absolutely, your Honor.

We also request that he be subject to strict pretrial supervision; that his travel be restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey, where he resides, and the District of Connecticut, where his attorney practice.

Your Honor, we also request that he surrender all of his travel documents and make new no travel applications.

Your Honor, we would request that these conditions be met no later than this Friday.

THE COURT: And have you had sufficient dealings up to now with Mr. Lipkin to have a view as to his reliability in keeping appointments, his ability to be trusted at his word in such relevant matters?

MR. MOORE: We have, your Honor, as well as the agency, the FBI, has been working with him.

In addition, your Honor, to ensure our confidence in Mr. Lipkin, we have already interviewed a number of cosigners that have volunteered to serve as suriters for his bond. Specifically, we've interviewed seven cosigners that he has proposed, and at this time we are prepared to approve all of them.

THE COURT: And is there anything that you wish to say to me with respect to community or family ties and the significance of those matters in terms of risk of flight?

# PLEA

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor, which was a factor in our determination. Mr. Lipkin is a long-time resident of the New York/New Jersey area. He resides in New Jersey with his wife and children along with several other family members, your Honor. And as a result we are satisfied that he is not a risk of flight, that he does not pose a danger to the community, so long as the conditions that we had proposed are also assigned with his release.

THE COURT: Are you confident of your assessment in terms of the potential availability of assets to facilitate flight?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, as a part of this process, and one of the reasons why we have expressed some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, is that he has fully disclosed his assets to us. He has filled out financial affidavits with our office fully disclosing the whereabouts of all of his funds. And I believe, as Mr. Filan will attest, he has also agreed to allow us to immediately start forfeiting those proceeds.

THE COURT: And you and your colleagues or investigators have traced monies that to your knowledge went from BLMIS to Mr. Lipkin and have mapped his disclosures against known assets?

MR. MOORE: That's correct, your Honor, which also proves some confidence in Mr. Lipkin, that our own independent evaluation matched up with what proved to be Mr. Lipkin's

#### PLEA

truthful disclosure about his own financial assets and the whereabouts of those assets.

THE COURT: Given the termination of operations of BLMIS, does the government have a view as to potential danger to the community? Is that an issue here?

MR. MOORE: We do not believe it is an issue in this case, your Honor. No.

THE COURT: And I understand that Mr. Lipkin is recently unemployed. What is the government's position as to the significance of that status with respect to risk of flight?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, Mr. Lipkin, from our understanding, will be assisting his wife with the children. His wife is a full-time employee and is fully employed. And we believe, in light of those conditions, your Honor, he is not a risk of flight, particularly if, as we have requested, the conditions are assigned for strict pretrial supervision and the security that he is willing to post, including the \$800,000 of cash which is coming from close family members of the defendant. As well, your Honor, a number of the cosigners we do believe have a significant moral suasion over the defendant in light of their close relationship to him.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Officer Ramesar, I've reviewed your report, for which I thank you.

MS. RAMESAR: You are welcome.

5.

THE COURT: Is there anything in particular or in addition to or different from what Mr. Moore has argued that you wish to bring to my attention at this point?

MS. RAMESAR: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Filan, did you wish to be heard?

MR. FILAN: Just to say, your Honor, that we have

MR. FILAN: Just to say, your Honor, that we have
Mr. Lipkin's passport, which we will surrender today to
Pretrial Services, as the government requests. And we also
have two cosigners here with us today, who will execute the
appearance bond today before they leave the courthouse to begin
that process.

And we urge the Court to release Mr. Lipkin for the reasons that Mr. Moore covered. I have really nothing further to add to that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, the summary of the proposed conditions that you've provided deals with the surrender of Mr. Lipkin's travel documents. Is there a reason why there is no provision for the surrender of the travel documents of immediate family members?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we have no objection to the wife keeping her passport. In fact, it is our understanding that she will be taking herself and her children to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PLEA

1 destination this summer.

However, if the Court feels that she should surrender her travel passports, we would have no objection to that condition being added.

THE COURT: It seems to me prudent to do that, given the magnitude of the exposure.

So I will direct that the travel documents of the wife and children also be surrendered without prejudice to specific application, on notice, for return in connection with specific travel approved by the Pretrial Services Department to which there is no other objection. If there is an objection, I will hear it.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Filan.

MR. FILAN: That is fine, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Having carefully considered all that I have heard here today, including the admissions of criminal activity, the result of the investigation of Pretrial Services, the government's extensive account of its activities and evaluation with respect to risk of flight and potential for danger to the community presented by Mr. Lipkin, I find that there is clear and convincing evidence that with the imposition of the proposed conditions Mr. Lipkin is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### PLEA

Accordingly, I will grant him release on the conditions that have been proposed, with the modification that we just discussed concerning the surrender of family travel documents.

I am now going to prepare a bail disposition sheet enumerating these conditions, and then I will distribute that in draft to the parties so that we can be sure that I've gotten everything correct. So bear with me as I type this up, please.

(Pause)

What I've written is this: "\$2.5 million PRB secured by \$800,000 cash and property, and 7 FRPs. Travel restricted to DNJ, D Conn, E.D.N.Y. and S.D.N.Y. All travel documents of Defendant, wife and children to be surrendered, with no new applications (without prejudice to application for return of documents of wife and children for particular preauthorized travel). Strict pretrial supervision. All conditions must be met by 4:00 p.m. on June 10, 2011."

Does that cover everything accurately?

MR. MOORE: That is our understanding. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. FILAN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

I will fix one typo and then I will sign. THE COURT:

How many copies are needed?

THE CLERK: Six.

THE COURT: OK.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

# PLEA

(Pause)

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Moore and Ms. Baroni, can you shepherd things through the Magistrate Clerk's office here, or whatever section of the Clerk's Office --

MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: And, also, Mr. Filan and Mr. Lipkin will need to go over to the Probation Department as well to get paper work set up and get any specifics as to what needs to be done there today that will be carried out.

MR. FILAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anything further that we need to take up together this afternoon?

MR. MOORE: Can you give us one moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Nothing further on the government's part.

Thank you, your Honor.

MR. FILAN: Nothing from the defendant, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We are adjourned.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

THE CLERK: All rise.

21

22

23

24

25

(We) hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the best of my (our) skill and ability, from my (our) stenographic notes of this propeeding.

Official Court Reporter
U.S. District Court